

## **FACULTY OF HEALTH SCIENCES**

Human Research Ethics Committee

Form R1: Scientific Review of Research Proposals

Form to be sent to reviewers at least one week before School DRC submission deadlines. Go to <a href="http://www.publichealth.uct.ac.za">http://www.publichealth.uct.ac.za</a> >> Research >> Departmental Research Committee >> Staff Submitting Proposals for the processes, required forms and submission deadlines.

1. General information								
Protocol title								
Name of Principal Investigator								
Division/Research Centre/Unit								
2. Scientific Review								
Has your proposal previously undergone independent review? (tick ✓)		☐ Yes (Skip 2.2)	☐ No (Skip 2.1)					
2.1 External Review:		(5/4)	(ОМР 2.1)					
	form (e.g., reviewers' comments from f	unding agency	) and					
2.2 <u>Internal Review</u> :								
Submit your proposal to your head of division (HoDiv) or director of URC unit/centre to identify an internal reviewer.								
Research Committee (DRC) and the this review is to ensure high academ	to review the attached proposal on behalf a Faculty Human Research Ethics Comminic standards and assess whether the proterms of interest, innovation and quality. The Faculty Funds.	ttee (HERC).The posed research	e purpose of is					
Title, first name, surname of Scientific Reviewer								
Division or URC Research Unit/Centre								
Phone								
Email address								
2.2.1. Reviewer's Assessment of F	Proposal							
Please complete the following based	d on your review of the proposal:							
2.2.1.1. The research design/methologic concerns (and/or concerns)	□ Yes	□ No						
2.2.1.2. Relevant sample characteristics and size are described and justified in the context of the objectives and data collection approaches. Recruitment of participants, where relevant, is well described and appropriate.		□ Yes	□ No					



## **FACULTY OF HEALTH SCIENCES**

**Human Research Ethics Committee** 

2.2.1.3. There is a clear description of which data will be collected, how, by whom and how this relates to the objectives, as well as how the data will be managed particularly for confidentiality.				□ Yes		□ No		
2.2.1.4. Consent forms are completed as per HERC requirements, written in a language appropriate for the participants, and strategies to minimize risks are clear.						□ No		
If no to any of the above, please explain if necessary.								
2.2.1.5. How do you rate this proposal (write in letter from rating scale below) based on the points above?			(put letter here)					
Rating Scale:								
A. No changes required; acceptable proposal.     B. Acceptable, but minor changes required as suggested on the proposal or below.								
C. Major changes required; resubmit for scientific review.								
D. Not recommended for research.  Please briefly explain your reasons for the above rating and list any changes required:								
3. Principal investigator to complete:								
				□ N/A				
3.0 Trave you attached a cover letter that explains the			□ N	(if proposal received an				
changes made to the proposal after the internal review?				"A" rating or external review conducted)				
				l lev	new co	inducted)		
Have you completed the following doc	uments? (Tick)							
3.1 New Protocol Application Form (FHS013) (attached with this						□ No		
application). Make sure form used is the most current version.								
3.2 Project Applications/Approval Requests via <a href="https://eraonline.uct.ac.za">https://eraonline.uct.ac.za</a>						□ No		
	(this is form	erly the C	1 form)					
4. Signatures								
4.1 Signature of PI				Date				
4.2 Signature of Internal Scientific								
4.2 Signature of Internal Scientific Reviewer				Date				
4.3 Signature of HoD/HoDiv/Head of Research Unit/ Head of Centre*				Date				
4.4 Signature of DRC administrator								
confirming full application is complete and ready to submit to the DRC Chair				Date				
for review and signature								

<sup>\*</sup> PI's are to obtain the authorised designee's signature through the DRC Administrator.